#### TASK FORCE MEMBERS PRESENT

Norm Charney, Acting Chair Representative Barbara Bailey Representative Eileen Cody Dorothy Graham

Dolonly Ofai

Steve Hill

Denise Hopkins

Kathy Marshall

Palmer Pollock

Mary Selecky represented by Brian Peyton

Jon Smiley Robby Stern

Senator Pat Thibaudeau

Janet Varon Carolyn Watts

Rick Woods

### TASK FORCE MEMBERS ABSENT

Senator Alex Deccio

### **STAFF ATTENDEES**

Nancy Fisher, MD

Gary Fugere

Regina Gallwas

Linda Glaeser

Pat Manley

Bev Skinner

#### INTERESTED PUBLIC ATTENDEES

Bill Alkive

Robin Appelford

Gary Bennet

Jackie Dur

Cynthia Forland

Jerry Kapfman

Gail McGaffick

Rob Menaul

Scott Plack

Tom Piper

David Weber

Page 1 of 4

Topic	Discussion/Decision	Follow-up
Welcome and Introductions	Norm Charney, Acting Chair, welcomed the Task Force members. Linda Glaeser carried out the roll call and a quorum was present.	
	HCA staff and interested public parties and phone participants introduced themselves.	
Agenda review	There were no changes to the agenda.	
• Minutes Review	Minutes were approved as presented	
	Linda Glaeser reviewed the CON notebook inserts and handouts.	
• Announcements	• The CON website is being updated. It will contain the updated Taskforce and TAC meeting schedules	
	• Linda Glaser noted the March 1 teleconference meeting was omitted from the schedule.	
	• There may be the need for an additional teleconference meeting in October.	
Values Survey	Gary Fugere described the Group Value Survey. Data will be used to create scatter diagrams to assist future Task Force meeting discussion. The Group Value Tool analysis will allow the Task Force to have a sense of who the group is. Awareness of the results could be used to ensure a comprehensive discussion. Task force members completed the survey. Results will be reported back to the members at the next meeting.	Send survey to task force members on the phone.
	The TAC completed the survey at their November 17 <sup>th</sup> meeting	
Chair Election	The members elected Cindy Watts as the permanent Task Force Chair.	

Page 2 of 4 12/8/2005

Торіс	Discussion/Decision	Follow-up
TAC Representatives	Palmer Pollock and Jon Smiley were introduced. They were selected	Send biography to task force
	by the TAC as the provider representatives to the task force.	members and add to notebood
Discussion  Overview and Context, TAC	Nancy Fisher facilitated the discussion.	Send handout to task force members on phone.
Overview and Context, TAC discussion related to purpose and goal of CON - RCW 70.38.015	The TAC, in reviewing the present WA State purpose and goals for CON identified seven (7) key concerns that could be grouped in to three recommendation areas and are other questions that need to be answered:  Policy: There needs to be an overarching policy; how to centralize and coordinate the process; and agreement on development, oversight and coordination across state agencies.  There needs to be an overarching policy setting and planning framework in order to have a CON process. Jon Smiley gave background on current CON and clarified the TAC recommendation.  Does roadmap need to be developed? What is wanted for a CON? Do we need and for what purpose. Give the TAC other potential goals that Task Force would like the CON process to address and their impact. At January meeting need to make decision on scope and over arching criteria.  Need to establish goals before determining policy.  Definitions/Clarifications:  Tertiary services needs to be examined and defined.  Examine reimbursement system to create incentives/rewards made within the state system and connect to CON. Cindy Watts gave overview of the 1999 JALARC CON report. Concern expressed about consumer needs. There needs to be choice in the market place. Need to protect integrity of heath care system, preserve quality.  Can CON even-out the inequities caused by the	members on phone.  Overview of CON processes and other models is located in the Mercer Report on web site and in Task Force notebook.  www.hca.wa.gov/contf/index.shtml  Timeline of CON changes is in the notebook.
	reimbursement process? Can CON serve this purpose?  • Literature indicates CON has had no impact.	

Page 3 of 4

Topic	Discussion/Decision	Follow-up
•	<ul> <li>Can it help with the lack of public health care.</li> <li>Accountability         <ul> <li>Should there be a mechanism to measure commitment to charity care</li> <li>In examining the scope of CON how can we insure the extent providing care to the indigent by current exempt facilities.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	Review the current Certificate Of Need compared to other state CON programs:  System;  goals and purpose;  examine what has been removed, added and why in Washington.  Bring Task Force recommendations based upon this review.	
Public Comment (limit - 3 minutes each person	Jerry Coffin- there is another point of view. Need for a positive sum game, it is about creating value. Recommended reading: Harvard Business Review article "Redefining Competition in Health Care," June 2004, Copyrighted	Harvard Business Review www.hbr.org
<ul> <li>Meeting Wrap-up</li> <li>Confirm assignment s and schedule</li> <li>Next Meeting Plus/Delta</li> </ul>	TAC will meet on December 13, to further discuss purpose and address related general criteria.  Next TF meet is January 3, 9:30 a.m. – 5 p.m., will draft recommendations related to CON purpose and general criteria.	

Page 4 of 4